

Bibliography: Speech Act Analysis

1. ATAWNEH, Ahmad M. (1991): Politeness theory and the directive speech-act in Arabic-English Bilinguals: An Empirical Study. (Diss. At State University of New York at Sony Brook).
2. BACH, K./HARNISH, R. (1979): Linguistic Communication and Speech Acts. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
3. BETTEN, Anne Marie (1976): Zur Sequenzierung von Sprechakten. Das Problem der Einheitenbildung in längeren Texten. In: WEBER, Heinrich/WEYDT, Harald (Hg.) (1976) S. 279-289.
4. BLUM-KULKA, S. (1982): Learning to Say What You Mean in a Second Language: A Study of the Speech Act Performance of Learners of Hebrew as a Second Language. *Applied Linguistics* 3 (1) pp. 29-59.
5. BLUM-KULKA, S. (1987): Indirectness and Politeness in Requests: Same or Different?. *Journal of Pragmatics* 11 pp. 145-160.
6. BLUM-KULKA, S. (1989): Playing It Safe: The Role of Conventionality in Indirectness. In: BLUM-KULKA, S./HOUSE, J./KASPER, G. (eds.) (1989) pp. 37-70.
7. BLUM-KULKA, S. /HOUSE, J. (1989): Cross-cultural and situational variation in requesting behavior. In: BLUM-KULKA, S./HOUSE, J./KASPER, G. (eds.) (1989) pp. 123-154.
8. BLUM-KULKA, S./HOUSE, J./KASPER, G. (eds.) (1989): Cross-cultural pragmatics: Requests and apologies. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex.
9. BLUM-KULKA, S./OLSHTAIN, E. (1984): Requests and apologies: A cross-cultural study of speech act realization patterns (CCSARP). *Applied Linguistics* 5 pp. 196-213.
10. BONIKOWSKA, Magorzata P. (1998): The Choice of Opting Out. *Applied Linguistics* 9 (2) pp. 169-181.
11. BOXER, Diana (1993): Complaining and commiserating. A speech act view of solidarity in spoken american english. New York: Lang 223 S. [HUB01 0112404](#)
[FUB01 0321936](#)
12. CRAIG, Robert T./TRACY, Karen/SPISAK, Frances (1986): The Discourse of Requests: Assessment of a Politeness Approach. *Human Communication Research* 12/4 pp. 437-468.
13. DIEGRITZ, Theodor (1999): Empirische Sprechhandlungsforschung. Erlangen: Univ.-Bund Erlangen-Nürnberg, 210 S. [HUB01 1371349/0415497](#) [TUB01 0518301](#) [FUB01 1813973](#) [Stabi 1 A 368487](#)
14. DURST-ANDERSEN, Per (1995): Imperative Frames and Modality Direct vs. Indirect Speech Acts in Russian, Danish, and English. *Linguistics and Philosophy* 18 (6) pp. 611-653.
15. FAVA, E. (1998): Speech acts and linguistic research. *Journal of Pragmatics* 29 (5) pp. 646 – 649 (Review).
16. FAVA, Elisabetta (1995): *Speech Acts and Linguistic Research*. Proceedings of the Workshop, July 15- 17, 1994. Center of Cognitive Science of New York at Buffalo. Padova, Nemo, 153pp.

17. FONG, Mary (2000): 'Luck Talk' in celebrating the Chinese New Year. *Journal of Pragmatics* 32 (2) pp. 219-237.
18. FUKUSHIMA, S. (1996): Request Strategies in British English and Japanese. *Language Sciences* 18 (3-4) pp. 671-688.
19. FÜRST, Carl (1996): Arbeitsaufträge und Lehrerinterventionen im Gruppenunterricht. Erprobung eines prozeßorientierten und sprechhandlungstheoretischen empirischen Ansatzes. (Masch.-schr.) (Diss. Erlangen-Nürnberg).
20. GASS, S./NEU, J. (eds.) (1996): *Speech Acts Across Cultures. Challenges to Communication in a Second Language*. Berlin: Mouton-de Gruyter, 350 S. [HUB01 1370582](#) [TUB01 0288989](#) [FUB01 0445556](#) [Stabi 1 A 263994](#)
21. GASS, Susan M./HOUCK, Noël (1999): *Interlanguage refusals: a cross cultural study of Japanese-English*. Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 264 S. [Stabi 1 A 376914](#)
22. GOLDSCHMIDT, M.M. (1998): Do me a favor: A descriptive analysis of favor asking sequences in American English. *Journal of Pragmatics* 29 (2) pp. 129-153.
23. GRAFFE, Jürgen (1990): *Sich festlegen und verpflichten: Die Untertypen kommissiver Sprechakte und ihre sprachlichen Realisierungsformen*. Münster (u.a.): Waxmann, 321 S. [Stabi 1 A 116370](#)
24. GUIDETTI, Michèle (2000): Pragmatic study of agreement and refusal messages in young French children. *Journal of Pragmatics* 32 (5) pp. 569-582.
25. HINDELANG, Götz (1978): *Auffordern. Die Untertypen des Aufforderns und ihre sprachlichen Realisierungsformen*. Göppingen: Kümmerle.
26. HOLMES, Janet (1989): Sex Differences and Apologies: One Aspect of Communicative Competence. *Applied Linguistics* 10 (2) pp. 194-213.
27. HONG, Wei (1993): *A cross cultural study of requests in Chinese and German*. (Diss. Purdue University) [\[Mikrofiche-Ausg.\] Stabi 1 F 5533](#)
28. IMBENS-BAILEY, Alison/ MCCABE, Allyssa (2000): The discourse of distress: a narrative analysis of emergency calls to 911. *Language & Communication* 20 (3) pp. 275-296.
29. KEENAN, Susan (1997): Investigating Deaf Students' Apologies: An Exploratory Study. *Applied Linguistics* 14 (4) pp. 364-384. [Abstract](#)
30. KENDZIORRA, Eckhard (1976): Sequenzierung von Sprechakten. In: WEBER, Heinrich/WEYDT, Harald (Hg.) (1976) S. 357-366.
31. KLEIN, Josef (1987): *Die konklusiven Sprechhandlungen: Studien zur Pragmatik, Semantik, Syntax u. Lexik von Begründen, Erklären-Warum, Folgern u. Rechtfertigen*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 250 S. [Stabi 795613](#)
32. KOHL, Mathias/KRANZ, Bettina (1992): Untermuster globaler Typen illokutionärer Akte. Zur Untergliederung von Sprechaktklassen und ihrer Beschreibung. In: KÖNIG, Peter-Paul/WIEGERS, Helmut (Hg.) (1992) S. 1-44.
33. KURZON, Dennis (1986): *It is hereby performed... : explorations in legal speech acts*. Amsterdam (u.a.): Benjamins, 81 S. [Stabi 1 A 27019](#)
34. LE PAIR, R. (1996): Spanish request strategies: a cross-cultural analysis from an intercultural perspective. *Language Sciences* 18, 3-4 pp. 651 – 670.

35. LIAO Chao-chih (1994b): After being refused: response to face-threatening speech acts. Paper presented at the fourth international symposium of Chinese languages and linguistics. July 18-20, Academia Sinica.
36. LIAO, Chao-chih (1994a): A Study on the Strategies, Maxims, and Development of Refusal in Mandarin Chinese. Taipei: Crane.
37. LIEDTKE, Frank (1998): Grammatik der Illokution: über Sprechhandlungen und ihre Realisierungsformen im Deutschen. Tübingen: Narr, 288 S. [Stabi 1 A 330533](#)
38. LORENZO-DUSN N. (2001): Compliment responses among British and Spanish university students: A contrastive study. *Journal of Pragmatics* 33 (1) pp. 107-127.
39. MARTEN-CLEEF, Susanne (1991): Gefühle ausdrücken. Die expressiven Sprechakte. Göttingen: Kümmerle, 371 S. [FUB01 0150487](#) [Stabi 1 A 116842](#)
40. MEYERHOFF, Miriam (1999): Sorry in the Pacific: Defining communities, defining practices. *Language in Society* 28 pp. 225-238.
41. MILLER, Seumas (2000): Speech acts and conventions. *Language Sciences* 22 (2) pp. 155-166.
42. MOHR, Gilbert (1989): Auffordern und begründen: die Integration verstehens- und entscheidungsrelevanter Information. Saarbrücken-Scheid: Dadder, 274 S. [Stabi 1 A 134164](#)
43. MULAMBA, Kashaina (1991): Apologizing and complaining in Ciluba, French, and English: Speech act performance by trilingual speakers in Zaire. (Diss.).
44. NAGATA, Masaaki /MORIMOTO, Tsuyoshi (1994): First steps towards statistical modeling of dialogue to predict the speech act type of the next utterance. *Speech Communication*, 15 (3-4) pp. 193-203.
45. NAGY, László Konrad 1998: Prag - Ein Prozeßmodell für die Verarbeitung konditionaler Sprechakte. (Diss. Hamburg) (PDF) [Link](#).
46. OBENG, S.G. (1999): Apologies in Akan discourse. *Journal of Pragmatics* 31 (5) pp. 709-734.
47. OLSHTAIN, E./WEINBACH, L. (1987: Complaints: A Study of Speech Act Behavior among Native and Non-native Speakers. In: VERSCHUEREN, J/ BERTUCCELLI-PAPI, M. (eds.) (1996)
48. OLSHTAIN, E./WEINBACH, L. (1993): Interlanguage features of the speech act of complaining. In: KASPER, G./BLUM-KULKA, S. (eds.) (1993) pp. 108-122.
49. RINTELL, M./MITCHELL, C.J. (1989): Studying requests and apologies: An inquiry into method. In: BLUM-KULKA, S./HOUSE, J./KASPER, G. (eds.) (1989) pp. 248-271.
50. ROZIK, Eli (2000): Speech act metaphor in theatre. *Journal of Pragmatics* 32 (2) pp. 203-218.
51. SASAKI, M. (1998): Investigating EFL students' production of speech acts: A comparison of production questionnaires and role plays. *Journal of Pragmatics* 30 (4) pp. 457-484.
52. SCHANZ, Gunter (1978): Kommunikation im Unterricht: ein empirischer und methodologischer Beitrag zur Sprechakttheorie. Frankfurt a.M.: Haag & Herchen.

- 53 SCHILLING, Ulrike (1999): Kommunikative Basisstrategien des Aufforderns. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 335 S. [HUB01 0379949](#) [FUB01 1811121](#) [1 UBP01 11003913](#) [TUB01 0437430](#)
54. SCHMITT, Holger (2000): Zur Illokutionsanalyse monologischer Texte: ein Konzept mit Beispielen aus dem Deutschen und Englischen. Frankfurt a.M. (u.a.): Lang, 302 S. [Stabi 1 A 406493](#)
55. STEINBAUER, Bernd (1989): Rechtsakt und Sprechakt, pragmalinguist. Unters. zu dt.-sprachigen Urkunden d. 13. Jh. Innsbruck: Inst. Für Germanistik, Univ., 249 S. [TUB01 0044117](#) [FUB01 0055484](#) [Stabi 1 A 30924](#)
- 56 STEINSTRÄTER, Christiane (1990): Zur kindlichen Sprechhandlungsfähigkeit. Frankfurt a. M. (u.a.): Lang, 231 S. [FUB01 0083335](#)
57. SUSZCZYNSKA, M. (1999): Apologizing in English, Polish and Hungarian: Different languages, different strategies. *Journal of Pragmatics* 31 (8) pp. 1053-1065.
58. TAYLOR, Talbot J./CAMERON, Deborah (1987): *Analysing conversation: rules and units in the structure of talk*. Oxford (u.a.): Pergamon Press, 169 S. [Stabi 779 394](#)
59. TROSBORG, A. (1995): *Interlanguage Pragmatics, Requests, Complaints and Apologies*. The Hague: Mouton.
60. TROSBORG, Anna, (1995): Statutes and contracts: An analysis of legal speech acts in the English language of the law. *Journal of Pragmatics* 23 (1) pp. 31-53.
- 61 ULKAN, Maria (1992): *Zur Klassifikation von Sprechakten: eine grundagentheoretische Fallstudie*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 295. S. [Stabi 1 A 134213](#)
62. VERSCHUEREN, Jef (ed.) (1987): *Linguistic action: some empirical-conceptual studies*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publ. Corp., 151 S. [Stabi 1 A 11148](#)
63. VIECHNICKI, Gail Bredel (1997): An empirical analysis of participant intentions: discourse in a graduate seminar. *Language & Communication* 17 (2) pp. 103-131.
64. WAGNER, Andreas (1997): *Sprechakte und Sprechaktanalyse im Alten Testament: Untersuchungen im biblischen Hebräisch an der Nahtstelle zwischen Handlungsebene und Grammatik*. Berlin (u.a.): de Gruyter [Stabi 1 A 322547 \(im Regal!\)](#)
65. WEIZMANN, E. (1989): Requestiv Hints. In: BLUM-KULKA, S./HOUSE, J./KASPER, G. (eds.) (1989) pp. 123-137.
66. WIERZBICKA, A. (1985): Different cultures, different languages, different speech acts. *Journal of Pragmatics* 9 pp. 145-178.
67. WOLFSON, Nessa (1984): Pretty Is As Pretty Does: A Speech Act View of Sex Roles. *Applied Linguistics* 5 (3) pp. 236-244.
68. ZAEFFERER, Dietmar/FRENZ, Hans-Georg (1979): *Sprechakte bei Kindern. Eine empirische Untersuchung zur sprachlichen Handlungsfähigkeit im Vorschulalter*. *Linguistik und Didaktik* 2, S. 91-132.