1. Pins and their Anaphoric Uptake

1.1 Pseudo-Incorporated Nominals (PINS)
Morphological (true) and syntactic (pseudo) incorporation:
- Syntactic incorporation of an NP with a transitive verb, thereby forming an argument slot, but syntactically closer than 'regular' (cf. Makkonen 2001).
- Example: Hungarian: Farkas & de Swart 2003

1.2 Pins and Anaphora

- Common claim: (Pseudo) incorporated nominals cannot be taken up by anaphora.
- But: uptake by anaphora is possible in certain cases, e.g., cases of multiplicity (cf. Aguilar-Guevara et al. 2010, Modarresi & Krifka 2015).

1.3 PINS as dependent definites under existential closure

Basic assumptions for incorporated nominals:
- Existential closure (x1)(exist x2(x2 = x1)).
- Possessive assignment: g(x1, x2) in the sum of all such that there is an embedding of g with g(x1, x2) where x1 and x2 are definite and g.

2. Existing Approaches

2.1 Farkas & de Swart 2003: Thematic Arguments

- Representation in terms of Discourse Representation Theory (Kamp & Reyle 1994).
- Illustrated with Persian data (a1 = x1, a2 = x2, a3 = x3, a4 = x4, a5 = x5, a6 = x6).
- Two discourse functions: a1 = x1, a2 = x2, a3 = x3, a4 = x4, a5 = x5, a6 = x6.

2.2 Modernes 2015: Neural-number DNs

- Pseudo-incorporated NPs introduce number-neutral DNs (int-DRs already stipulated in Kamp & Reyle 1994).
- Over-prediction problem for number, hence exact number-marked DNs (int-DRs already stipulated in Kamp & Reyle 1994).
- Over-prediction problem for number, hence exact number-marked DNs (int-DRs already stipulated in Kamp & Reyle 1994).

3. A New E-Type Analysis of PINS

3.1 E-type pronouns

- Pronouns with quantifiers or antecedents, no-command (Caires 1996; Naoumov 1996).
- The pronoun is always a definite NP which always carries the category of the antecedent.

3.2 E-type pronouns in OMRT

- ORT (Kamp & Reyle 1995, Hardt 2000): abstraction and summation over DNs (15)
- John eats meat for dinner. John is here.

3.3 PINS as dependent definites under existential closure

- Basic assumptions for incorporated nominals:
- Existential closure (x1)(exist x2(x2 = x1)).
- Possessive assignment: g(x1, x2) in the sum of all such that there is an embedding of g with g(x1, x2) where x1 and x2 are definite and g.

4. Consequences & Further Observations

4.1 Neutrality

- (a) is compatible with there being multiple events of 'Levi buying an apple'.
- But: 'are' regular indefinites interpreted as a number-neutral.

4.2 Maximality with anaphoric uptake of PINS

- Due to summation (17) we expect maximality effect, cf. Yanovich 2003.
- (a) All Jean-chased. Jean-chased ham had been eaten already.
- (b) All Jean-chased. Jean-chased ham had been eaten already.

4.3 Assistance of collective predication

- If PINS were semantically neutral, collective predicates should be possible.
- Present approach: no collective predications (cf. Devay 2011).

4.4 Plural nominals

- Current theory predicts:
- No collective predication, plurality with incorporated nominals has no effect, as incorporation results in a number-neutral interpretation.

4.5 Further Issues relating to Persian

5.1 Accusative-barred nominals

- Assumption (Massam 2001, Mithun 2010): making is a morphological reflex of an object scurrying out of DP.
- Movement of an object NP into an initial focus position does not affect re-marking.

5.2 A closer look at pre-marked indefinites

- Possible two readings, (11), (12).
- No relation of (11), (12).

5.3 Accessing nominal and singular indefinite nominals

- Accessing nominal and singular indefinite nominal nominals can be marked out by marking.

6. Weak Definites in English

6.1 Weak definites analyzed as PINS

- Every accident victim was taken to the hospital (possibly definite).
- Proposal: 'Weak' are situation-dependent antecedents under existential closure, just as PINS.

6.2 Predication

- Number-neutral interpretations: See (40).
- Maximality effect of anaphoric uptake.
- The hurricane victims were taken to the hospital. (The only collective interpretation.
- The hurricane victims were taken to the hospital. (The only collective interpretation.

6.3 Institutionalized Meanings

- Every accident victim was taken to the hospital. (possibly definite).
- Proposal: 'Weak' are situation-dependent antecedents under existential closure, just as PINS.

6.4 Consequences

- Possible two readings, (11), (12).
- No relation of (11), (12).

6.5 Iterative readings and modal subordination

- The iterative marker may express progressive or iterative readings.
- Example: 'The hospital became full.' (Heather 2001).
- The hospital became full. (possibly definite).

6.6 Conclusion

- Possible two readings, (11), (12).
- No relation of (11), (12).
- The hospital became full. (possibly definite).
- The hospital became full. (possibly definite).